

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/045,948	ZEMANIAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712	

All Participants:

Status of Application: After Final Rejected

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(3) _____.

(2) Douglas E. McKinley, Jr..

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 September 2005

Time: ~ 2:00 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none.

Claims discussed:

6, 10 and canceled claims 1-5 and 8-9.

Prior art documents discussed:

none.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



DANIEL S. METZMAIER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1712

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiner proposed amendments to make the claims read more clearly and as disclosed at page 3, lines 12-14 of the instant specification and new claims to the cancelled dependent claims 1-5 and 8-9. Applicants authorized said amendments by examiner's amendment. .